

Use of Artificial Intelligence in Judicial Decision-Making

Ujjwal Prakash¹

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into judicial systems has emerged as a significant development in the pursuit of a more efficient, transparent, and accessible justice delivery mechanism. While jurisdictions such as the United States, the United Kingdom, and China have already incorporated AI tools into various facets of their legal systems, India remains at a comparatively nascent stage of adoption. This paper examines the current and potential applications of AI in judicial decision-making, including predictive analytics, legal research support, and automated risk-assessment tools. It traces the historical evolution of AI integration within judicial administration, from early digitisation efforts to present-day automation, and undertakes a comparative analysis of AI deployment in the United States (COMPAS), China (Smart Courts), and India (SUPACE, the National Judicial Data Grid, and the e-Courts Mission). The paper highlights the advantages AI offers -- increased efficiency, uniformity and predictability in legal outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and improved access to legal information, particularly for underserved populations. At the same time, it cautions against treating AI as a substitute for human judicial reasoning, emphasising that AI should function as an assistive tool rather than a decision-maker. The paper concludes by recommending structured regulation, capacity-building for judicial officers and legal practitioners, and international cooperation to ensure that AI is deployed in a manner consistent with constitutional values and the principles of fairness, transparency, and accountability in the administration of justice.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Judicial Decision-Making, SUPACE, Predictive Analytics, Access to Justice, Judicial Reform

Introduction

The justice delivery system, that is often condemned for being inefficient, and delayed is switching technologically. The number of within the law procedures, as well as judicial decision, are commencing to be impressed by use of artificial intelligence. This is the spell that is used to outline the replication of intellectual activity of human by computers and technology, especially the computer systems. Big datasets might be extracted by the AI systems, whatever can next point patterns & construct results such mimic and even strengthen legal reasoning. While the nations like United States, the U.K. and China have so far established the tools like AI into precise position belonging to the legal technique, on the other hand India is simply just at the initial process (Ulenaers, 2020).

Understanding AI in Legal Justice System

The term Artificial intelligence is used to explain how equipment and technology such as, computer systems, capable to quicken human intelligence procedures. Learning, thinking process, doubt-solving, as well as rectification are part of these techniques. The following factors are those where the AI technology are most regularly being used in the judicial system:

Analytics for Prediction

Through the examination of previous data, the artificial intelligence systems are able to predict the outcome of cases in court. By the proper inspection of the thousands of old cases. Such technologies can forecast the probable verdicts which can be made and suitable in the cases, it can assist and help the attorneys in forming acquainted decisions concerning risks in the respective case, resolution options, & techniques for argument (Katz et al., 2017).

¹Fifth Year student of B.A.LL.B.(H), Law College, Dehradun, Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, India.

Legal Research & Support

Artificial Intelligence is utilised by platforms such as ROSS Intelligence & LexisNexis for enhancing the legal research. Such mechanism provide the suitable case law, legislative rules, and legal needs and other requirements with the accuracy as well as perfection by inquiring through vast legal databases (CEPEJ, 2018).

Systems for Automated Decision-Making

Artificial Intelligence techniques are practiced in such jurisdictions where to assist with the risk assessments, granting of bail, and formation of decisions. To help or assist the judicial procedures, these are the tools may used to examine the profile of a defendant, previous convictions, & other indication of risks (Engel et al., 2024).

Historical Background of AI in Judicial System

Technology has slowly but significantly assimilated in the judicial system. Initially, the key focus was on digitizing, which look for to develop the effectiveness with transparency of court work management. To decrease the load of paperwork, improvement in accessibility, and enhance in production of tracking records of the court proceedings held, tools such as digital case manager technology, online status of cases and its detailed access, as well as filing systems through electronic mode has been developed with passage of time (Press Information Bureau, 2026).

Automation is the other phase when the judges got more familiar to the use of digital techniques. In this, regular work such as making of case lists, arranging hearings, and organising papers and documents were performed by such technology with some assistance with human beings. This less manual labour with reduced errors and time taken in the procedures. The courts became able to manage and clear a higher number of matters with thanks to techniques of automation, which helped as a crucial equipment in areas having backlogs.

Global Application of AI

United States

The incorporation of the Artificial Intelligence in the Judicial system was initially introduced in the

U.S.A. One of the famous AI system that helps to analyse recidivism risk that is known as COMPAS. It is often condemned because of lack of veracity and racial preconception, inspite of the fact that it has helped to improve the bail matters and resource division. Concerns in matters of equity as well as the due process were increased by an analysis done in 2016 that revealed about COMPAS which was unfair against the defendants from African-American (Dressel & Farid, 2018; Angwin et al., 2016).

China

China has taken a tactic move in use of Artificial Intelligence in the Judicial system. The "Smart Courts" resolves the civil cases of minor issues and other issues related with Internet using the blockchain proof, facial identification & AI judges. There are various type of cases in which the smart law Robot helps and communicates with the attorneys and provides various solutions and advices in the rulings. In-spite of the fact that they are not always efficient, but still these tools function in a highly centralised court system that also offers some kind of appeal and review (Zheng, 2020; Wang, 2020).

Artificial Intelligence in the Indian Judicial System

Despite being fierce and independent, the courts in India is facing serious trouble and issues because of the high number of backlog or pending cases. There has not an instance of such a higher urgent requirement for organisational reform, with having above than 4.5 crore matters being pending at different courts of the nation (IndiaAI, 2021). So that, to enhance the effectiveness, managing cases, maintaining transparency. The Indian Judiciary & administration has initiated the establishment and incorporation of the Artificial intelligence in the Indian Legal System. AI is getting used to assist the judicial officers in handling vast number of data and to accelerate judicial procedures, but not to produce judgements. These technological advancements helps to speed up the justice system, enhance consistency, and manage the work load of human labour (Ulenaers, 2020).

SUPACE

The SUPACE stands for *Supreme Court Portal to Support Court Operations*, this system was introduced by the Apex court in 2021, which was incorporated to work as helper to judge. It supports and assists in rectification and processes the case files, intended to compile the facts of dispute and to research on the legal precedents best suitable in respective dispute, and also helping in making of case briefs, but not overtaking the making of judicial decision (IndiaAI, 2021; Jagran Josh, 2021).

The primary objective of SUPACE is to cut down the time taken by the court especially in complex legal issues which includes various documents needed to be analysed. It has the capacity to analyse factual data in less time. It provides more time and energy to judge focus on interpretation of laws and its better understanding (Jagran Josh, 2021).

NJDG, or the National Judicial Data Grid

The NJDG stands for *National Judicial Data Grid*, is one of the other tool used in the Judicial System. It is a variant centralised database which collects and show the ongoing details about the rates of case disposal, number of pending cases, and the overall working performance of the courts. This system assists finding systematic obstruction and delays at many courts by use of Artificial Intelligence (National Informatics Centre, n.d.; e-Committee, Supreme Court of India, n.d.).

At many instances, it can help in identifying those courts which are not working efficiently in their jurisdiction and courts with higher number of pending cases. This allows and helps to provide various resources and form decisions on the basis of facts. The representative of governments and court administration can take the help from the findings of such platform to provide guidance in management of case and infrastructure needs.

Because of being available at public platform and easily accessible, this platform promotes more responsibility and transparency in the Indian Court system (National Informatics Centre, n.d.).

E-courts and Virtual Hearings

Another major reformation project which attempts to computerize the documents in Courts and also

procedures is the project named as *e-Courts Mission*, tools such as Artificial Intelligence are getting introduced more often as segment of this venture to enhance procedure of judicial system (Press Information Bureau, 2026).

The applications under the Artificial Intelligence consists of voice into text transcripts of court proceedings, and tracking of case records and listing.

By enabling more effective management of document and services like translation among different languages.

During the period of COVID-19, such type of technologies have proved their importance and requirement as they help to allow for conducting the court procedures remotely when no one was allowed to enter such public offices and assisted to provide the justice to all in need despite the geographical restrictions (Press Information Bureau, 2026).

Advantages of AI in Judicial Decision-Making

There are various kinds of noteworthy advantages through the addition of artificial intelligence in the Legal System , that helps to improvise the efficiency, accessibility and reliability in the judicial administration.

- **Increased efficiency:** The large number of legal data in the form of documents, precedents, laws, and others can be easily analysed and processed rapidly with the help of Artificial Intelligence. It provides aid in the working speed with accuracy in research of legal matters for Judge as well as the attorneys. Hearings can be done at the rapid rate that would help to clear the backlogs of cases quickly.
- **Uniformity and Predictability:** The use of Artificial Intelligence also cut downs the chances of distinction in interpretation of laws by attaining uniformity & predictability. It can provide ideas on many issues by analysing the previous resolution made in matters similar to it through finding out on the

huge legal databases. It would also help to increase the faith in the legal system.

- **Cost-effectiveness:** It is one of the significant advantage. As the regular work such as data entry, checking of documents, record tracking consumes a lot of time and cost which can be done automatically through Artificial intelligence.
- Last, but not the least, there are tools such as legal chatbots, which can provide the option to enhance legal knowledge and giving legal advice to improve the justice system. People living at remote places who don't have easy access to lawyers and other legal scholars will be able to get useful information in legal system (Watson & Niedringhaus, 2017).

Suggestions

- The AI should be used for assisting the judiciary not replacing them. As in decision making process there is a great need of human brain while delivering the justice (Ulenaers, 2020; CEPEJ, 2018).
- The Courts must use such tools which are open to all which would enhance the faith, and responsibility.
- There is need of proper training given to all the Judges, attorneys, and court staff for the use of AI in the legal system and making them aware about all the tools and its need.
- A structured legislative rules & regulation is needed that should control and specify the use of AI in judicial system (CEPEJ, 2018).
- Nation like India will become able to form AI resolutions which is in consistency with the culture and the Constitution through analysing the global practices. Through the interaction with UN agencies would be more beneficial.

Conclusion

The Artificial intelligence tools are powerful instrument that helps to improve the efficiency of

courts, which reduces the delays, and in modification of access to legal systems, but it cannot be considered as a cure to all the issues. Regardless of how, carefulness must be practiced while using AI tools in court procedures and decision making with upholding and validating the principles such as justice, fairness, transparency, and the constitutional integrity.

Empowering the human judges instead of replacing them with the artificial intelligence is not the cure and also the optimal scenario. However, the eventual act of ensuring justice and its administration is still thoroughly in human beings, and AI should be used to work as a judicial assistant to help them, offering rapid results through analysing the data insights and reducing the time taken by judiciary while performing their routine works. The Artificial intelligence must be used for moral and thoughtful work, and always safeguarding the interest of fair justice system in a democratic country like India, where there is presence of confidence in public upon the justice system (Ulenaers, 2020).

References

- Angwin, J., Larson, J., Mattu, S., & Kirchner, L. (2016). Machine bias: There's software used across the country to predict future criminals. And it's biased against blacks. *ProPublica*. <https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing>
- Dressel, J., & Farid, H. (2018). The accuracy, fairness, and limits of predicting recidivism. *Science Advances*, 4(1), eaao5580. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao5580>
- e-Committee, Supreme Court of India. (n.d.). *National Judicial Data Grid*. <https://ecommitteesci.gov.in/service/national-judicial-data-grid/>
- Engel, C., Linhardt, L., & Schubert, M. (2024). Code is law: How COMPAS affects the way the judiciary handles the risk of recidivism. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10506-024-09389-8>
- European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ). (2018). *European ethical charter on the use of artificial intelligence in judicial systems and their environment*. Council of

- Europe. <https://rm.coe.int/ethicalcharter-en-for-publication-4-december-2018/16808f699c>
- IndiaAI. (2021). *Enhancing the efficiency of India's courts using AI*. <https://indiaai.gov.in/case-study/enhancing-the-efficiency-of-india-s-courts-using-ai/>
- Jagran Josh. (2021). *CJI SA Bobde launches Supreme Court's first AI-driven research portal 'SUPACE'*. <https://www.jagranjosh.com/current-affairs/cji-sa-bobde-launches-supreme-courts-first-ai-driven-research-portal-supace-1617956116-1>
- Katz, D. M., Bommarito, M. J., & Blackman, J. (2017). A general approach for predicting the behavior of the Supreme Court of the United States. *PLOS ONE*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174698>
- National Informatics Centre. (n.d.). *National Judicial Data Grid*. Government of India. <https://www.nic.gov.in/project/national-judicial-data-grid/>
- Press Information Bureau, Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India. (2026). *e-Courts Project: Press release*. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2238787>
- Ulenaers, J. (2020). The impact of artificial intelligence on the right to a fair trial: Towards a robot judge? *Asian Journal of Law and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ajle-2020-0008>
- Wang, N. (2020). "Black box justice": Robot judges and AI-based judgment processes in China's court system. In *2020 IEEE International Symposium on Technology and Society (ISTAS)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/istas50296.2020.9462216>
- Watson, C. A., & Niedringhaus, K. (2017). *Is it time to welcome our robot overlords?* University of Georgia School of Law. <https://digitalcommons.law.uga.edu/speeches/135>
- Zheng, G. G. (2020). China's grand design of people's smart courts. *Asian Journal of Law and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/als.2020.20>